

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





| | Au | udit D | etails | | | |
|--|--|--------|--|------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System) | ZC: 420322650 | | Sedex Site Re (only availabl System) | | ZS: 42 | 0335073 |
| Business name (Company name): | COS-AR JEWELERY (COMPANY | GIFT C | GOODS GUMU | SCULUK INDU | JSTRY A | ND TRADE LIMITED |
| Site name: | COS-AR SILVER JEW | | | | | |
| Site address: (Please include full address) | BAĞLAR MAH. 15. SOKAK NO:13 KAT:2 İSTANBUL | | Country: | | TURKEY | |
| Site contact and job title: | AYSEL DEMIRCI-HR AYSEL DEMIRCI-İK U | | | | | |
| Site phone: | 0090 545 57402 38 | | Site e-mail: | | hr@c | osarsilver.com |
| SMETA Audit Pillars: | ☑ Labour Standards | Safe | lealth & ety (plus fronment 2- r) | ☐ Environi 4-pillar | ment | ☐ Business Ethics |
| Date of Audit: | 11.08.2022 | - | | | | |

| Audit (| Company Name & Logo: RHEINLAND TURKEY |
|----------|--|
| <u>A</u> | TÜV Rheinland [®] Precisely Right. |

Report Owner (payer):

COS-AR JEWELERY GIFT GOODS GUMUSCULUK INDUSTRY AND TRADE LIMITED COMPANY

| | Audit Conducted By | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|------|--|--|
| Affiliate Audit Company | | Purchaser | | Retailer | | | |
| Brand owner | | NGO | | Trade Union | | | |
| Multi– stakeholder | | | Combined Audit | (select all that app | oly) | | |



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards. Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Sedex Audit Reference: 2022TRZAA420462662

SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Volkan ÇINAR Team auditor: S. Selin AKYOL

Interviewers: Volkan ÇINAR, S. Selin AKYOL

Report writer: Volkan ÇINAR

Report reviewer:

Date of declaration: 11.08.2022

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post—audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.

Audit Parameters

| | Audit Parameters | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| A: Time in and time out | Day 1 Time in: 09:30 Day 1 Time out: 14:00 | Day 2 Time in: Day 2 Time out: | Day 3 Time in: Day 3 Time out: | | |
| B: Number of auditor days used: | 2 Auditors x 0.5 Day (1 Man | Day) | | | |
| C: Audit type: | ☐ Full Initial ☐ Periodic ☐ Full Follow-up ☐ Partial Follow-Up ☐ Partial Other If other, please define: | | | | |
| D: Was the audit announced? | ☐ Announced☑ Semi – announced: Window detail: 2 weeks☐ Unannounced | | | | |
| E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review? | | | | | |
| F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings? | ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes , please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause | | | | |
| G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title) | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible AYSEL DEMIRCI- İK Sorumlusu | | | | |
| H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details) | ☐ Yes ☑ No | | | | |
| I: Previous audit date: | 06.08.2021 | | | | |
| J: Previous audit type: | Full Initial | | | | |
| K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit | Yes □ No □ N/A | | | | |

| Audit attendance | Management | Worker Representatives | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Senior management | Worker Committee representatives | Union representatives | |
| A: Present at the opening meeting? | ⊠ Yes □ No | ☑ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Yes ☒ No | |

| B: Present at the audit? | ⊠ Yes □ No | ☑ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Yes ☒ No | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|--|--|
| C: Present at the closing meeting? | ⊠ Yes □ No | ☑ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Yes ☒ No | | |
| D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present) | N/A | | | | |
| E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present) | There was no union activity onsite. Firmada sendikal faaliyet yoktur. | | | | |

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' gudit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the noncompliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexalobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexalobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any followup audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

| | Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Non- Compliance Number The reference num ber of the non-com pliance from the Audit Report, for exam ple, Discrimination No.7 | New or Carried Over Is this a new non- com pliance ident ified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still out standing | Details of Non- Compliance Det ails of Non-Compliance | Root cause (com pleted by the sit e) | Preventative and Corrective Actions Det ails of actions to be taken to clear non-com pliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed bet ween site and audit or) | Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180,365) | Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F] | Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- com pliance, and docum ent name of responsible person | Verification Evidence and Comments Det ails on corrective action evidence | Status Open/Closed or comment |
| NC -1 0B Management systems and code implementation - 1 | NEW / YENİ | ETI Base Code training are not provided to workers by the firm. Firmada çalışanlara ETI Temel Şartları eğitimi verilmemiştir. | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The firm shall give trainings regarding ETI Base Code. Firma çalışanlara ETI Temel Şartları ile ilgili eğitim vermelidir. | 60 DAYS / 60 GÜN | DESKTOP / M ASAÜSTÜ | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | | |
| NC -2 2 Freedom of Association - 1 | NEW / YENİ | Two worker representatives were appointed by the management instead of being elected by the workers. Firmada bulunan iki çalışan temsilcisi yönetim tarafından atanmış olup çalışanlar tarafından seçimle belirlenmemiştir. | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The worker representatives should be elected by the workers. Çalışan temsilcileri çalışanlar tarafından seçilmelidir. | 30 DAYS / 30 GÜN | DESKTOP / M ASAÜSTÜ | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | | |

| | - | | | _ | _ | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|---|------|
| NC – 3 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions -1 | CARRIED OVER/ DEVAM EDEN | It was noted that the company did not conduct joint fire drills with other users in the building. Firmanın binadaki diğer kullanıcılarla ortak yangın tatbikatı yapmadığı not edilmiştir. | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | It was recommended that the joint fire drills should be conducted with other users in the building. Firmanın bulunduğu binadaki diğer kullanıcılarla ortak yangın tatbikatı yapması gerekmektedir. | 30 DAYS / | DESKTOP / M ASAUSTU | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | |
| NC – 4 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions -2 | CARRIED OVER/ DEVAM EDEN | It is noted that no secondary containers are provided for chemicals and cleaning materials used in production. Üretimde kullanılan kimyasalllar ve temizlik malzemeleri için ikincil kap sağlanmadığı not edilmiştir. | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The company should provide secondary containers for chemicals used in production. Firma üretimde kulllanılan kimyasallar için ikincil kaplar sağlamalıdır. | 30 DAYS / 30 GÜN | DESKTOP / M ASAUSTU | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | |
| NC – 5 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions -3 | CARRIED OVER/ DEVAM EDEN | It has been noted that MSDS forms for thinner, methanol and liquid canner used in production are not available in the area where chemicals are used. Üretimde kullanılan tiner, methanol ve sıvı tenekeler için MSDS | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The company should have the material safety form of the chemicals used in production ready. Firma üretimde kullanılan kimyasalların malzeme güvenlik | 30 DAYS / 30 GÜN | DESKTOP / M ASAUSTU | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- iK Sorumlusu | |

| | | formlarının kimyasalların kullanıldığı alanda olmadığı not edilmiştir. | | formunu hazır bulundurmalıdır. | | | | |
|--|--------------|---|---|--|------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| NC – 6 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions -4 | NEW/ YENİ | In areas where chemical substances are used, no eyew ash solutions are available. Kimyasal maddelerin kullanıldığı alanlarda göz duşu bulunmamaktadır. | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The eyewash solution should be provided by the company where the chemical substances are used. Firma kimyasalların kullanıldığı alanlarda göz duşu sağlanmalıdır. | 30 DAYS / 30 GÜN | DESKTOP / M ASAUSTU | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | |
| NC -7 6 Working Hours - 1 | NEW/ YENİ | It was noted that in October 2021 4 out of 10 employees worked 13 times more than 11 hous a day, in M arch 2022 4 out of 10 employees worked 10 times more than 11 hours a day, in July 2022 7 out of 10 employees worked 21 times more than 11 hous a day. Çalışan zaman kayıtları incelemelerine göre Ekim 2021'de 10 çalışandan 4'ünün 13 kez günde 11 saatten fazla çalıştığı, Mart 2022'de 10 çalışandan 4'ünün 10 kez günde 11 saatten fazla çalıştığı, Temmuz 2022'de 10 çalışandan 4'ünün 21 | ☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: | The workers should not work more than 11 hours a day as per the local law. Yerel kanuna göre çalışanların günde 11 saatten fazla çalışmamaları gerekmektedir. | 60 DAYS / 60 GÜN | FOLLOW UP / TAKIP | AYSEL DEMIRCI- HR Responsible / AYSEL DEMIRCI- IK Sorumlusu | |

kez günde 11 saatten fazla çalıştığı görülmüştür. NC - 8 CARRIED Although the □ Training The firm shall have 30 DAYS DESKTOP AYSEL DEMIRCI-10B2 application is made and ☐ Systems OVER Environmental HR Responsible M ASAÜSTÜ Environment 2the inspection by the ☐ Costs Permit available. 30 GÜN Pillar -1 DEVAM local authority was ☐ lack of workers carried out, the Firma, Çevre İzni AYSEL DEMIRCI-**EDEN** ☐ Other-please give details: edinmelidir. iK Sorumlusu company has not obtained the Environmental Permit yet. Firma çevre izni başvurusu yapmış olmasına ve denetimi geçirmesine ragmen henüz Çevre İzni almamıştır.

| | Corrective Action Plan – Observations | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Observation Number The reference num ber of the observation from the Audit Report, for exam ple, Discrimination No.7 | New or Carried Over Is t his a new observation ident ified at t he follow-up or one carried over (C) that is st ill outstanding | Details of Observation Det ails of Observation | Root cause (com pleted by the site) | Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX) | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND TURKEY

Report reference: ET2208111101TR

Date: 11.08.2022

Sedexglobal.com



| | Good examples | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Good example Number The reference num ber of the good exam ple from the Audit Report, for exam ple, Discrimination No.7 | Details of good example noted | Any relevant Evidence and Comments | | | |
| GE - 1 5 Wages and Benefits -1 | Free lunch is provided to the employees./Firmada ücretsiz yemek sağlanmaktadır. | Verified through w orker interviews. | | | |



Confirmation

| Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| A: Site Representative Signature: | AYSEL DEMİRCİ | Title HR Responsible | | | | |
| | | Date 11.08.2022 | | | | |
| B: Auditor Signature: | VOLKAN ÇINAR | Title Lead Auditor | | | | |
| | | Date 11.08.2022 | | | | |
| C: Please indicate below if you, the site | management, dispute any of the findings. No ne | eed to complete D-E, if no disputes. | | | | |
| D: I dispute the following numbered nor | n-compliances: N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| E: Signed: | AYSEL DEMIRCI | Title HR Responsible | | | | |
| (If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line) | | Date 11.08.2022 | | | | |
| F: Any other site Comments: N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Audit company: TÜV RHEINLAND TURKEY Report reference: ET2208111101TR



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

 $http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbEoPQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d$

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP